Albuna J. Bradelow ORIGINAL

DECEIVED

•	RECEIVED TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
1	MAD - 7 1004
2	DELOTE CINE CONVICCION
3	Washington, D.C. 20554 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
4	
5	IN RE APPLICATIONS OF: MM DOCKET NO. 93-300
6	STEPHEN O. MEREDITH
7	AL HAZELTON
8	Audubon, Iowa
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	·
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	DATE OF CONFERENCE: February 18, 1994 VOLUME: 1
25	PLACE OF CONFERENCE: Washington, D.C. PAGES: 1-8

MAD - R 1004

1	Before the	MAR 0 1774
	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS	COMMETS TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2	Washington, D.C.	20554 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
3		o)
4	In the matter of:	\(\)
5	STEPHEN O. MEREDITH	MM DOCKET NO. 93-300
6	AL HAZELTON	{
7	Audubon, Iowa	.)
8	The above-entitled matter co	me on for pre-hearing
9	conference pursuant to Notice before J Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L St	udge John M. Frysiak, reet, N.W., Washington,
10	D.C. in Courtroom 4 on Friday, Februar	y 18, 1994 at 9:00 a.m.
11	APPEARANCES:	
12	On behalf of Stephen O. Meredith:	
13	GARY S. SMITHWICK, Esquire SHAUN A. MAHER, Esquire Smithwick & Belendiuk, P.C.	
14 15	1990 M. Street, N.W. Suite 510	
16	Washington, D.C. 20036	
10 17	On behalf of Al Hazelton:	
18	BARRY A. FRIEDMAN, Esquire Semmes, Bowen & Semmes	
19	1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX	
2		
3	Page 1	ю.
4	Opening Statement by Judge Frysiak	3
5	Statement by Mr. Friedman	4
6	Statement by Mr. Smithwick	5
7	Statement by Mr. Zauner	6
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		ļ
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	Conference Began: 9:00 a.m. Conference Ended: 9:07 a	m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. This is a pre-hearing
3	conference for Docket No. 93-300, Audubon, Iowa. May we note
4	your appearances? For Meredith.
5	MR. SMITHWICK: Your Honor, good morning, Gary
6	Smithwick and Shaun A. Maher for Steve Meredith.
7	MR. FRIEDMAN: Barry Friedman for Al Hazelton.
8	MR. ZAUNER: Robert A. Zauner for the Chief, Mass
9	Media Bureau.
10	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Okay. Well, we have a short agenda
11	simply to discuss the status of the case. If you have
12	anything else, why please feel free to add to it. What is
13	your status? Are there any settlement possibilities?
14	MR. SMITHWICK: Your Honor, there were some
15	settlement discussions early on and they didn't bear fruit. I
16	certainly wouldn't rule out the possibility of settlement, but
17	we don't have anything on the table right this minute.
18	MR. FRIEDMAN: One is always hopeful but at the
19	moment Mr. Smithwick is right, nothing is on the table.
20	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. Any problems with the
21	case otherwise?
22	MR. ZAUNER: None that I know of so far.
23	MR. FRIEDMAN: I guess there is the big problem,
24	Mr. Bechtel.
25	JUDGE FRYSIAK: The what?

1	MR. FRIEDMAN: Bechtel, and then the black hole that
2	Bechtel has caused as to where things are going in regard to
3	comparative hearings. Perhaps Mr. Zauner can tell us if
4	anything is happening at the Commission. I do know that the
5	Court of Appeals has now thrown two more cases back to the
6	Commission to be dealt with. I gather the Chief Judge has
7	spoken to the General Counsel about what to do and I guess
8	are we going to be fighting over something? There's nothing
9	to fight over. That my concern is that we are going to be
10	spending some time and effort in the near term fighting over
11	issues that maybe have no relevance any longer.
12	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, that's true. We don't know
13	what the Commission is eventually going to do. I guess one of
14	the options is already gone and that is to seek
15	reconsideration of the decision. That time has already passed
16	so that's gone and before the Commission now is the
17	possibility of appealing that decision. It's still open.
18	And, otherwise, going into rulemaking which it might do to
19	determine what standards it would then use in the comparative
20	cases in the future. But for now we're still in the same
21	situation we've always been, that we're forced to go into
22	comparative hearings by the old standards even though the
23	Court of Appeals has indicated
24	MR. FRIEDMAN: That the old standards are improper.
25	JUDGE FRYSIAK: That's right. I mean, I certainly

couldn't use those comparative standards in deciding the case 2 and there are no other standards about which we can decide the 3 It's certainly anomalous. I would --MR. SMITHWICK: Well, Your Honor, until some 5 decision is made we had intended to seek the deposition of Mr. Hazelwood since he's made a claim for integration credit. don't know where it may ultimately go but one of the things we wanted to take up today was to determine what Your Honor's pleasure was with respect to that, and I, I quess I'm hearing 10 you say that we've got to proceed along with status quo until 11 something changes. 12 Right. You know, I think it makes JUDGE FRYSIAK: 13 discovery difficult because you're in that situation now and 14 you don't know which way to go. You certainly don't want to

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

hearing date? April 28th, something like that?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SMITHWICK: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE FRYSIAK: I would imagine that certainly by that time we would have heard from the Commission as to what avenue it was going to follow and get some lead as to how to proceed in this case. I would hope that it already would have been forthcoming, but I'm sure it will come by that time, April 28th. It will be interesting to see how, how they come up with what they come up with. All right. Anything else?

foreclose any options. But as far as the hearing, when is our

1	Mr. Zauner, do you have anything to add to this?
2	MR. ZAUNER: No, Your Honor. Just in answer to the
3	question, I don't know anything more than you all seem to know
4	about the Bechtel decision and its impact.
5	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, that's the way it is. We'll
6	proceed as best we can. If you have problems, why just let me
7	know. We'll try to accommodate you as much as we can.
8	MR. FRIEDMAN: The only thing is if the Commission
9	comes out with some grand advice as to how we try cases,
10	perhaps we should reconvene and deal with procedural issues
11	and hearing dates and whatever.
12	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Yes.
13	MR. FRIEDMAN: If the Commission tells us that now
14	we're going to have new standards such as who's the best
15	dancer, maybe we'll have to, have to figure out how to deal
16	with that.
17	JUDGE FRYSIAK: You're certainly going to have to
18	play it be ear.
19	MR. ZAUNER: At this point then, for purposes of the
20	proceeding here, what you're saying is that you will find
21	relevant discussions at depositions relating to integration?
22	Is that correct even
23	JUDGE FRYSIAK: At the moment I have no other
24	choice.
25	MR. ZAUNER: even though the court has

1	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Pardon?
2	MR. ZAUNER: even though the court has said that
3	that is not to be a factor any longer?
4	MR. FRIEDMAN: That's the anomaly we use.
5	MR. ZAUNER: That's the, that's the problem.
6	MR. FRIEDMAN: If I objected on relevance grounds,
7	since the Court of Appeals has said integration doesn't count
8	I hate to see my client spending time and effort answering
9	questions on issues that are now that he's we've been
10	told by the Court of Appeals that have no value to the
11	decision you're going to make.
12	JUDGE FRYSIAK: I know, but if the Commission does
13	appeal and it's successful on appeal, then we're back to where
14	we were. I mean, we at this point don't know exactly which
15	way to go, I don't think.
16	MR. SMITHWICK: That's correct.
17	JUDGE FRYSIAK: So if you need relief, why just ask
18	for it.
19	MR. FRIEDMAN: Perhaps if I could ask Mr. Zauner,
20	what is happening in other cases? Are they proceeding or are
21	they staying or
22	MR. ZAUNER: The only thing that I'm seeing is
23	proposed findings being filed with references to the Bechtel
24	decision and I think in some instances people are filing
25	findings with regard to and another cases they aren't and it

1	looks to me, if I'm reading things correctly, that the General
2	Counsel's office is granting extensions of time much more
3	liberally than they did in the past because I guess they're
4	not going to take any action on any of these comparative cases
5	until they see what happens in the Bechtel matter.
6	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Right. If the Commission does
7	pursue rulemaking, I'm sure it would give us a stay on all the
8	proceedings presently pending. Now, at best, though, if you
9	decide not to try the comparative aspects in your discovery
10	and at a later time learn that it's factored back in, then I'd
11	give you time to revisit that, but I you know, I can't tell
12	you anymore than what has happened so far. Okay?
13	MR. SMITHWICK: Your Honor, thank you.
14	JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. If there's nothing else,
15	then we stand adjourned until roughly until the 28th of
16	April.
17	(Whereupon, the conference was adjourned at 9:07
18	a.m.).
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Name	AUDUBON, IOWA
name	
MM DOCKET NO. 93-300	
Docket No.	
WASHINGTON, D.C.	
Place	
FEBRUARY 18, 1994	
Date	
true, accurate and compreporting by the above identified p provisions of the curr professional verbatim. Work and have verified comparing the typewrit recording accomplished	through 8 , inclusive, are the plete transcript prepared from the ALICE WEHNER in attendance at roceeding, in accordance with applicable ent Federal Communications Commission's reporting and transcription Statement of the accuracy of the transcript by (1) ten transcript against the reporting or at the proceeding and (2) comparing the
final proofed typewrit recording accomplished	ten transcript against the reporting or
final proofed typewrit recording accomplished February 24, 1994 Chery	ten transcript against the reporting or
February 24, 1994 Tebruary 24, 1994 The February 24, 1994	ten transcript against the reporting or at the proceeding. Level & Cleans yl 1. Phipps , Transcriber